

**Distributed Power System Research Center** 

# Grid-Forming Inverter-based Distributed Control for Microgrids

Ph.D. Hyeongjun Yoo Senior Researcher Distributed Power System Research Center, Smart Grid Research Division, KERI





## Outline

- Introduction
- GFM Inverter Control Strategy
- Distributed Control Strategy
- Experimental Results
- Conclusion



## Introduction

#### **Power Grid Transformation**



<Renewable energy share of global electricity production in 2004 vs 2019>



<Projected global DER market growth>





## Challenges due to Increased IBRs (1)

(Hz)

Frequency

**KERI** 4

#### **Frequency Stability Issues**

Reduction in system inertia (Replacement of SG with IBR)

Reduced time to respond to frequency changes

**Increased UFLS activation** 

Increased possibility of blackouts due to protective relay activation



- ✓ In Ireland, Texas, and South Australia, where IBR penetration is high, frequency stability problems occur during certain times of the day.
- Small island power systems such as New Zealand and Hawaii already face low inertiarelated challenges.

## Challenges due to Increased IBRs (2)

#### **Stability Issues in Weak Grids**

- Failure to ride through disturbances
- Converter control interactions \*\*
- Converter control instability

1.15

1.1

0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

<Stiff grid>

Power (pu of installed rating

1.1 1.2

13 1

- Cycling between converter control modes
- Steady-state voltage collapse and power transfer

N-0 Voltage Control

-N-0 Const PF (pf=1.0)



**Unstable recovery** due to network transfer limits







## **Research Objective**



GFM-based virtual inertia control technology – improving frequency stability

Distributed operation – Operation system without Central EMS



## **Overview**



#### **Development of GFM-based inverter and distributed operation technology** for IBR to improve stability and resiliency





- Single grid-forming source(e.g. diesel,
- Grid-following inverter-based
- Requires complex infrastructure and communication
- It has a single point of failure, as a malfunction in the central controller can disrupt the entire system

#### Advanced Microgrid(Proposed technology)



- Distributed Operation without Centralized EMS
- Multiple grid-forming inverter-based resources
- More flexibility and scalability
- More reliable as there is no single point of failure
- Lower initial setup and operational costs

## **GFM-based VSG for ESS**



## Test of GFM-based VSG(H/W)

Is
Rs
Is
I

Image: Provide strain of the strai

Grid-connected Mode

✤ Islanded Mode

PQ control



Voltage & Frequency control

## **GFM Control Strategy for PV-Inverter**

- Classification of control strategy for PV-inverter
  - DC link capacitor
  - Energy storage system
  - MPPT curve



<Virtual inertia using cap.>



<sup>&</sup>lt;Virtual inertia using MPP characteristic>

<Virtual inertia using additional ESS>

## **GFM-based PV-VSG**

**KERI** | 11

#### Virtual Inertia Technology for PV system



## **Structure of Distributed Operation Algorithm**

**KERI** 12

#### **Hierarchical Control for MG**



<Distributed control layer>

## **Distributed Operation Algorithm**

- Distributed control algorithm based on diffusion algorithm
  - Cost function

 $C_{e}(P_{e}^{ESS}) = \alpha_{e}^{ESS} (P_{e}^{ESS} + 3P_{e,\max}^{ESS} \cdot (DOD))^{2} + \beta_{e}^{ESS} (P_{e}^{ESS} + 3P_{e,\max}^{ESS} \cdot (DOD)) + \gamma_{e}^{ESS}$ 

Diffusion algorithm considering frequency restoration

$$\Phi[k] = (I - \varepsilon L)\lambda[k]$$
$$\lambda[k+1] = \Phi[k] - \mu \nabla \Phi_{\nu}[k] - \eta (f^* - f)$$





$$\frac{\partial C_e(P_e^{ESS})}{\partial P_e^{ESS}} = 2\alpha_e^{ESS} \left(P_e^{ESS} + 3P_{e,\max}^{ESS} \cdot (DOD)\right) + \beta_e^{ESS}$$

•  $P_e: Electrical Power$ 

- P<sub>e,max</sub> : Max. Power
- $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ : battery coeficient
- *DOD* : Depth of discharge

**KER** 13

## **HIL-based Test Environment**

**KERI** | 14





Agent	а <sub>l</sub> (\$/кW2H)	β <sub>i</sub> (\$/kWh)	γ <sub>i</sub> (\$/kWh)
ESS <sub>1</sub>	0.001562	3.95	213
ESS <sub>2</sub>	0.00174	3.99	234
ESS <sub>3</sub>	0.00186	4.02	246
ESS <sub>4</sub>	0.00196	4.05	270
$ESS_5$	0.00208	4.09	283

Symbol	PARAMETER	Value
Τ <sub>s</sub>	Sampling time	50 µs
T <sub>com</sub>	Communication time	0.1 s
T <sub>EMS</sub>	EMS command time	30 s
k <sub>sp</sub> ,k <sub>si</sub>	PI gains of secondary controller	0.01,6

## **Centralized vs distributed control**



중앙집중식 제어방법과 유사한 SCO 패턴을 보임

운영비용의 경우 중앙집중식 대비 약 90% 성능을 보임

**KERI** | 15



Control Scheme	Total Generation Cost	
Centralized EMS	\$ 766.8190	
Proposed method	\$ 808.5974	
Difference	5.1668%	

<ESS SOC>



**Pilot Plant (2)** 



## **Monitoring System**

#### **KERI** | 18



## **Black Start Test**

**KERI** | 19



## **Experimental Scenario**

#### ✤ Case 0

- With/without virtual impedance
- Case 1 (single GFM inverter and the others GFL inverters)

Line 3

ESS

#5

ESS

#2

ESS

#3

ESS

#6

V

#4

Load

#3

Load

Line 4

Line 4

- Load reduction & increase
- Single GFM tripping
- Case 2 (multiple GFM inverters with virtual inertia)

ESS #1

Line 3

Load reduction & increase 

Line 2

PV

#1

Single GFM tripping 

Load

#1

Line 1

Line 2

ESS #4



#5

#6

#2

#1

## **Test Results – Single GFM & Multiple GFL**

20000 Case1(Scenario) A 15000 10000 DG1 DG2 DG3  $\ominus$  Load change DG4 DG5 Active 5000 DG6 ⊜ GFM inverter tripping 80 90 100 110 120 70 130 140 time(s) 5000 15000 ⊖ Load change  $\mathbf{x}$ Active Power (W) Load 10000 increase GFM inverter operates first during load change 5000 Active ] Load -5000 because of the fast responsiveness of the GFM reduction -10000 80 82 105 110 115 78 84 86 88 90 inverter time(s) time(s) 60.2 **⊖ GFM** inverter Tripping If single GFM trips, the entire system Line 1 Line 2 Line 3 Line 4 is blacked out 59.6 ESS ESS ESS Load 120 Load 130 140 70 100 110 #1 #2 #3 #1 #3 time(s) 60.1 60.1 60.1 (Hz) 60 requency (Hz) df dt Line 2 Line 3 Line 4 60 df С С 59.9 ESS ESS ESS ΡV Load #4 #1 #5 #6 #2 59.8 59.8

**78** 

80

82

84

time(s)

86

88

90

**KERI** 21

115

110

time(s)

120

105

## **Test Results – Multiple GFM Inverter**

✤ Case2(Scenario)

 $\ominus$  Load change

#### Load change

 The frequency is controlled stably, because all GFM inverters operate at similar response time during load change,





## **Test Results – Multiple GFM Inverter**

- GFM inverter-based DG trip
  - Scenario : The load amount is the same and DG is tripped sequentially
  - The frequency and voltage can be maintained even if some DG trips because voltage is generated form multiple voltage sources.



#### Test Results – Distributed operation function Incremental cost DG1< DG2< DG3< DG4< DG6< DG5

No.	А <sub>I</sub> (\$/КW2H)	β <sub>i</sub> (\$/kWh)
DG <sub>1</sub>	0.001562	3.82
DG <sub>2</sub>	0.00174	3.99
DG <sub>3</sub>	0.00186	4.15
DG <sub>4</sub>	0.00196	4.53
DG <sub>5</sub>	0.00208	5.09
DG <sub>6</sub>	0.00219	3.11



- $\ominus$  Section: only primary controller operates
- Section: Secondary controller ON
- Active power output change according to the incremental cost of each IBR

## Conclusion



Distributed Power System Research Center Thank you